



RESEARCH
PLANNING & INSTITUTIONAL EFFECTIVENESS

2022 Governance Survey Results

Institutional Program
Review Committee

COLLEGE OF THE SEQUOIAS
SEQUOIAS COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT
HANFORD | TULARE | VISALIA



To: Francisco Banuelos and Christian Anderson, Co-Chairs of Institutional Program Review Committee
From: Dr. Mehmet “Dali” Ozturk, Dean, Research, Planning and Institutional Effectiveness
Date: April 29, 2022
Subject: Institutional Program Review Committee – Governance Survey Results

District-wide feedback is valued and it is integral to our improvement efforts at the College of the Sequoias (COS). This report contains a detailed statistical analysis of the results to the survey *Institutional Program Review Committee – Governance Survey*. The purpose of this survey is to provide Institutional Program Review Committee members with an opportunity to reflect on their participatory governance experience at COS.

The results analysis includes answers from all respondents who took the survey in the 19-day period from April 7 to April 25, 2022. A total of seven responses were received to the survey during this time **(7/14)**.


Please be aware that survey results are only reported in the aggregate so that the privacy of the respondent is protected. Disclosure of the personally identifiable information to unauthorized parties violates the Family Education Rights & Privacy Act ([FERPA](#)). This report includes comments/responses to open-ended questions and may include sensitive information. Please use this information only for its intended purpose and handle it in a professional and careful manner as required by the General Ethical Standards ([COS AP 3050](#)).

The Office of Research, Planning and Institutional Effectiveness is happy to provide assistance with interpretations of the survey results, if needed.

For additional survey data and analysis, please submit a Data/Research Request Form:
<https://www.cos.edu/en-us/Research/Pages/Ad-Hoc-Data-and-Research-Requests.aspx>

Q1 Based on your involvement with the IPRC, on a scale of 1 – 4, (1 strongly disagree, 2 disagree, 3 agree, 4 strongly agree) score each of the following:

Answered: 7 Skipped: 0



| | STRONGLY DISAGREE | DISAGREE | AGREE | STRONGLY AGREE | TOTAL |
|---|-------------------|----------|-------|----------------|-------|
| The senate/committee/council met its roles and responsibilities. | 0% | 0% | 14% | 86% | 7 |
| Adequate and appropriate resources are available to support my work on this senate/committee/council. | 0% | 0% | 43% | 57% | 7 |
| The workload of this senate/committee/council is appropriate. | 0% | 0% | 43% | 57% | 7 |
| The senate/committee/council was effective in completing its initiatives. | 0% | 14% | 0% | 86% | 7 |
| The senate/committee/council stayed on task. | 0% | 0% | 14% | 86% | 7 |
| The senate/committee/council adhered to Robert's Rules of Order. | 17% | 0% | 33% | 50% | 6 |

Q2 How could the IPRC improve its effectiveness in regard to meeting its roles and responsibilities?

Answered: 3 Skipped: 4

| # | RESPONSES | DATE |
|---|--|-------------------|
| 1 | Overall, IPRC is effective. Continue with efforts that promote equity. | 4/25/2022 4:13 PM |
| 2 | N/A | 4/25/2022 8:35 AM |
| 3 | IPRC is effective enough in regard to meeting its roles and responsibilities | 4/8/2022 9:19 AM |

Q3 How might the IPRC improve its effectiveness in regard to accomplishing its initiatives?

Answered: 4 Skipped: 3

| # | RESPONSES | DATE |
|---|--|--------------------|
| 1 | Allocate more discussion time and opportunities for better understanding critical issues. | 4/25/2022 4:13 PM |
| 2 | Updating or replacing TracDat, which a task force has been reviewing alternatives. This is a good start. | 4/25/2022 10:47 AM |
| 3 | N/A | 4/25/2022 8:35 AM |
| 4 | IPRC is effective enough in regard to accomplishing its initiatives | 4/8/2022 9:19 AM |

Q4 What resources are needed to assist the IPRC in performing its operations?

Answered: 3 Skipped: 4

| # | RESPONSES | DATE |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| 1 | No additional resources are needed. | 4/25/2022 4:13 PM |
| 2 | N/A | 4/25/2022 8:35 AM |
| 3 | continued clerical support | 4/8/2022 9:19 AM |

Q5 What topics should be addressed by the IPRC next year?

Answered: 4 Skipped: 3

| # | RESPONSES | DATE |
|---|---|--------------------|
| 1 | Continue with efforts that promote equity, which is critical for student success. No additional suggestions but members should be able to bring issues/topics, as they see appropriate. | 4/25/2022 4:13 PM |
| 2 | Keep working towards the use of data, and disaggregated success rates. | 4/25/2022 10:47 AM |
| 3 | Continue to discuss the important role disaggregated data can play in program review and why department should include it in their PRs. | 4/25/2022 8:35 AM |
| 4 | 1) training 2) Software | 4/8/2022 9:19 AM |

Q6 Are there any additional roles or responsibilities the IPRC should be fulfilling?

Answered: 3 Skipped: 4

| # | RESPONSES | DATE |
|---|---|-------------------|
| 1 | IPRC plays a critical role for program level improvements. Continue with efforts that promote equity at all levels (district, program, course levels, etc.) | 4/25/2022 4:13 PM |
| 2 | N/A | 4/25/2022 8:35 AM |
| 3 | no | 4/8/2022 9:19 AM |